

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
United States Attorney

DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CSBN 202121)
Chief, Criminal Division

DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7359
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
denise.barton@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No. 03-07-70344 BZ
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
)	EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE
v.)	PRELIMINARY HEARING AND
)	EXCLUDING TIME
JOHN DOE aka VADINHO aka)	
GERARDO GUILLERMO RUIZ-)	
SANCHEZ,)	
)	
Defendant. _____)	
_____)	

The parties now stipulate and request that the Court enter an Order that the Preliminary Hearing be removed from the August 2, 2007 calendar and be continued until August 14, 2007 and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from August 2, 2007 through August 14, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel.

The Government has and is continuing to provide discovery to the defendant. Further, counsel for the Government and defense counsel are currently discussing pre-indictment resolution of this matter. Finally, counsel for the defendant does not believe it is within his

client's best interest to hold a preliminary hearing within 10 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c),(d). The parties represent that granting this continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel to permit defense counsel to review discovery and to afford counsel time to discuss pre-indictment resolution, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
United States Attorney

DATED: July 30, 2007

/s/
DENISE MARIE BARTON
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: July 30, 2007

/s/
GEORGE BOISSEAU
Attorney for JOHN DOE aka VADINHO
aka GERARDO GUILLERMO RUIZ-
SANCHEZ

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Preliminary Hearing shall be removed from the August 2, 2007 calendar and be continued until August 14, 2007. For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from August 2, 2007 through August 14, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

DATED: _____

Honorable James Larson
United States Magistrate Judge